

NORTH AMERICA SOUTH AMERICA EUROPE ASIA

200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 T +1 (212) 294-6700 F +1 (212) 294-4700

KRISHNAN PADMANABHAN

(212) 294-3564

KPadmanabhan@winston.com

June 23, 2022

MEMO ENDORSED

VIA ECF

Hon. Edgardo Ramos **United States District Court** Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

> Re: Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Taasera Licensing LLC and Quest Patent Research

Corporation, No. 1:22-cv-02306-ER

Dear Judge Ramos:

This firm represents Plaintiff Palo Alto Networks, Inc. ("PAN") in the above captioned action. We are writing to request a stay of our opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss pending jurisdictional discovery. The parties appeared before the Court last Thursday for the pre-motion conference on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, and in accordance with the Court's order during that conference, PAN has submitted a cross-motion seeking jurisdictional discovery. We propose that the jurisdictional discovery be completed within 3 months, and that PAN have the standard 14 calendar days to respond after that. See Local Civil Rule 6.1. See, e.g., Blockchain Mining Supply & Servs. Ltd. v. Super Crypto Mining, Inc., No. 18-cv-11099-ALC, 2020 WL 7128968, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 4, 2020) (Court providing plaintiff four months to conduct jurisdictional discovery, and requesting a briefing schedule subsequent to completion of jurisdictional discovery).

Very truly yours,

/s/ Krishnan Padmanabhan Krishnan Padmanabhan

Plaintiff Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s request for a stay of its opposition to the defendants' motions to dismiss pending jurisdictional discovery is DENIED. The parties are instructed to follow the briefing schedule directed by the Court during the premotion conference held on June 16, 2022: motions due 6/23/2022; responses due 7/14/2022; replies due 7/21/2022. It is SO ORDERED.

Edgardo Ramos, U.S.D.J

Dated: June 24, 2022

New York, New York